

Created by: Mario Rossi

Case: Stalking

Report date: 07/07/2026 23:18

Documents analyzed: 9

1. QUICK INDEX OF THE CASE FILE AND EVIDENCE

This page provides a quick orientation for reading the case file. It first separates the file formats, then highlights communications, formal documents, media, technical aspects, economic aspects, critical issues and verifiable findings.

Guiding question: what do the attachments actually document? Dates, involved parties, communications, formal documents, technical conditions, payments, costs, original files, critical issues and verifiable responses from third parties or institutions.

WhatsApp (3)

Conversations and messages. Read by distinguishing requests, replies and actually documented actions.

Attachments: 6, 7, 9

Social (1)

Social posts and screenshots. Mainly useful for relational context, public exposure and communication issues.

Attachments: 3

PDF / Certified Email / Email (5)

Formal documents, invoices, estimates, contracts, legal communications, certified email, email and verifiable attachments.

Attachments: 1, 2, 4, 5, 8

Media (0)

Images, audio and video. Useful for context, calls, daily events and original materials.

Attachments: no attachment automatically identified

Formal / contractual documents (2)

Invoices, estimates, contracts, receipts, records, technical reports and structured documents.

Attachments: 5, 9

Conditions / documented events (1)

Failures, damage, defects, accidents, thefts, technical diagnoses, reports and objective findings.

Attachments: no attachment automatically identified

Material facts / documented activities (4)

Purchases, deliveries, appointments, movements, conversations, photos, videos and concretely described events.

Attachments: 3

● **Economic aspects / costs (0)**

Prices, invoices, estimates, payments, refunds, estimated costs, repairs and accounting documentation.

Attachments: no attachment automatically identified

● **Institutional / legal (4)**

Courts, prosecutors, certified email, email, lawyers, insurance, complaints, reports, warranties and institutional communications.

Attachments: 1, 2, 4, 8

● **Critical social / conflict (0)**

Conflictual content, disparagement, accusations, aggressive language or communicative pressure.

Attachments: no attachment automatically identified

Applied rule: the number of messages written is not what matters. What matters are attachments, dates, concrete actions, documents, payments, original files, technical findings, verifiable third-party responses and institutional communications.

2. SUMMARY TIMELINE OF KEY EVIDENCE

First quick reading for the professional: date, attachment number, brief description and documentary indicator. The complete attachment list follows on the next pages.

WA 3 WhatsApp	SOC 1 Social	IMG 0 Images	VID 0 Video	PDF 1 PDF	EMAIL 4 Email/Cert.	AUD 0 Audio
---------------------	--------------------	--------------------	-------------------	-----------------	---------------------------	-------------------

Selected documents: 9

Period: Date to be verified - 10/04/2026 09:12

5 Formal documents - Police Information Note - PI-2026-4481

Date to be verified | PDF | To be verified

A fictional police information note detailing a complaint from Emily Carter about repeated unwanted messages and her fear that the sender may attend her workplace.

9 Formal documents - Chat with Unknown Number

10/04/2026 09:12 | WhatsApp | To be verified

A WhatsApp conversation where the sender (User) repeatedly asks the 'Unknown Number' to stop contacting them. The 'Unknown Number' insists on talking and, upon being threatened with reporting, states they 'will find another way'.

[Open / download attachment 9](#)

3 Material facts - Repeated profile viewing / message request

Date to be verified | Social | To be verified

This screenshot is presented as 'Demo visual evidence' and is explicitly stated to be 'Fictional - no real person or event'. It illustrates the concept of repeated profile viewing or message requests.

1 Institutional - Caller's Statement Regarding Persistence and Office Hours

Date to be verified | Email / Certified Email | To be verified

A fictional transcript where a caller states a firm intention to remain and claims to have knowledge of the office's operating hours.

2 Institutional - Caller's Statement Regarding Persistence and Office Hours

Date to be verified | Email / Certified Email | To be verified

A fictional transcript where a caller states a firm intention to remain and claims to have knowledge of the office's operating hours.

4

Institutional - Caller's Statement Regarding Persistence and Office Hours

Date to be verified | Email / Certified Email | To be verified

A fictional transcript where a caller states a firm intention to remain and claims to have knowledge of the office's operating hours.

6

WhatsApp - Harassing messages from Unknown Number

08/04/2026 22:48 | WhatsApp | To be verified

A series of messages from an 'Unknown Number' to the device owner, indicating knowledge of their workplace, threatening consequences for blocking, and stating presence outside their building. The device owner responded once asking not to be contacted.

[Open / download attachment 6](#)

7

WhatsApp - Harassing messages from Unknown Number

08/04/2026 22:48 | WhatsApp | To be verified

A series of messages from an 'Unknown Number' to the device owner, indicating knowledge of their workplace, threatening consequences for blocking, and stating presence outside their building. The device owner responded once asking not to be contacted.

3. READING BY DOCUMENTARY AREA

These values do not replace professional assessment: they help guide the reading of the case file and quickly identify recurring documentary clusters.

● Formal, contractual or technical documents	2
● Relevant events, conditions, damage or findings	1
● Material facts, activities, deliveries or events	4
● Legal, institutional, certified email, complaints or reports	4
● Economic aspects, costs, invoices or payments	0
● Missing replies, objections, refusals or conflict	0

READING CRITERION

A repeated request is not automatically considered an action performed. The reading distinguishes documented actions, requests made, institutional initiatives, economic aspects and obstructive conduct. The original attachments remain the primary source for verification.

QUICK READING FOR THE PROFESSIONAL

The case file contains heterogeneous attachments: for this reason, the report should not be read as a simple list, but as a reasoned index. The initial boxes show how many valid documents are present by format; the attachment list then allows each original file to be opened. The representative section balances communications, formal documents, media, economic aspects, critical issues and institutional profiles so that no single file type dominates the reading.

4. REPRESENTATIVE EVIDENCE BY AREA

This section avoids a random or repetitive selection. It does not select only calls or only WhatsApp items: it proposes significant attachments for each documentary area so the professional can immediately understand where to look.

Formal / contractual documents

5 Police Information Note - PI-2026-4481

Date to be verified | PDF | To be verified

A fictional police information note detailing a complaint from Emily Carter about repeated unwanted messages and her fear that the sender may attend her workplace.

9 Chat with Unknown Number

10/04/2026 09:12 | WhatsApp | To be verified

A WhatsApp conversation where the sender (User) repeatedly asks the 'Unknown Number' to stop contacting them. The 'Unknown Number' insists on talking and, upon being threatened with reporting, states they 'will find another way'.

[Open / download attachment 9](#)

Conditions / documented events

Economic management / costs

Daily life and material context

3 Repeated profile viewing / message request

Date to be verified | Social | To be verified

This screenshot is presented as 'Demo visual evidence' and is explicitly stated to be 'Fictional - no real person or event'. It illustrates the concept of repeated profile viewing or message requests.

Social and relational criticalities

Institutional / legal / certified email

1 Caller's Statement Regarding Persistence and Office Hours

Date to be verified | Email / Certified Email | To be verified

A fictional transcript where a caller states a firm intention to remain and claims to have knowledge of the office's operating hours.

2 Caller's Statement Regarding Persistence and Office Hours

Date to be verified | Email / Certified Email | To be verified

A fictional transcript where a caller states a firm intention to remain and claims to have knowledge of the office's operating hours.

4

Caller's Statement Regarding Persistence and Office Hours

Date to be verified | Email / Certified Email | To be verified

A fictional transcript where a caller states a firm intention to remain and claims to have knowledge of the office's operating hours.

5. ATTACHMENT LIST AND ORIGINAL LINKS

Each row links to the original attachment. The attachment number corresponds to the number shown in the summary timeline.

1 Caller's Statement Regarding Persistence and Office Hours

Date to be verified | Email / Certified Email | To be verified

A fictional transcript where a caller states a firm intention to remain and claims to have knowledge of the office's operating hours.

2 Caller's Statement Regarding Persistence and Office Hours

Date to be verified | Email / Certified Email | To be verified

A fictional transcript where a caller states a firm intention to remain and claims to have knowledge of the office's operating hours.

3 Repeated profile viewing / message request

Date to be verified | Social | To be verified

This screenshot is presented as 'Demo visual evidence' and is explicitly stated to be 'Fictional - no real person or event'. It illustrates the concept of repeated profile viewing or message requests.

4 Caller's Statement Regarding Persistence and Office Hours

Date to be verified | Email / Certified Email | To be verified

A fictional transcript where a caller states a firm intention to remain and claims to have knowledge of the office's operating hours.

5 Police Information Note - PI-2026-4481

Date to be verified | PDF | To be verified

A fictional police information note detailing a complaint from Emily Carter about repeated unwanted messages and her fear that the sender may attend her workplace.

6 Harassing messages from Unknown Number

08/04/2026 22:48 | WhatsApp | To be verified

A series of messages from an 'Unknown Number' to the device owner, indicating knowledge of their workplace, threatening consequences for blocking, and stating presence outside their building. The device owner responded once asking not to be contacted.

[Open / download attachment 6](#)

7 Harassing messages from Unknown Number

08/04/2026 22:48 | WhatsApp | To be verified

A series of messages from an 'Unknown Number' to the device owner, indicating knowledge of their workplace, threatening consequences for blocking, and stating presence outside their building. The device owner responded once asking not to be contacted.

8

CCTV Lobby Demo

08/04/2026 22:50 | Email / Certified Email | To be verified

Fictional camera clip showing a person waiting near an entrance. This is a demo video frame.

[Open / download attachment 8](#)

9

Chat with Unknown Number

10/04/2026 09:12 | WhatsApp | To be verified

A WhatsApp conversation where the sender (User) repeatedly asks the 'Unknown Number' to stop contacting them. The 'Unknown Number' insists on talking and, upon being threatened with reporting, states they 'will find another way'.

[Open / download attachment 9](#)

6. DOCUMENTARY CORRELATIVE ANALYSIS

The following reading is synthetic and documentary. It does not formulate diagnoses, clinical assessments or personal judgments. It is intended to guide the professional in a quick reading of the case file before the analytical examination of the attachments.

PROFESSIONAL SUMMARY

The selected case file contains 9 evidence items arranged chronologically over the period Date to be verified - 10/04/2026 09:12. The documentation contains recurring clusters relating to formal or technical documents (2), documented events or conditions (1), material facts and activities (4), legal or institutional actions (4), economic aspects (0) and communication or oppositional criticalities (0). A correct reading distinguishes concretely documented facts from requests that were merely made: the repeated presence of a request does not, by itself, amount to a proven fact. The evidence should be read in chronological sequence, verifying for each attachment who acts, who proposes, who replies, who does not reply and which facts are actually documented. Evidentiary conclusions remain the responsibility of the appointed professional, on the basis of the original attachments downloadable from this report.

FORENSIC READING PROMPT TO APPLY TO THE CASE FILE

Analyze WhatsApp, social posts, email, certified email, PDF, images, audio, video and timeline together. Do not count words and do not assign automatic evidentiary value to repeated requests. Always distinguish documented actions, concretely verifiable facts, requests made, economic aspects, organizational needs, formal documents, communications, institutional actions and obstructive conduct. The final assessment must identify who appears involved in the documented facts, which elements are actually documented, which requests remain only stated and which patterns emerge from the case file.

7. OPERATIONAL FORENSIC SUMMARY

HOW TO READ THIS REPORT

The value of the case file is not in the number of pages, but in the connection between original attachments, date, documentary area and concise description. The timeline allows each individual file to be opened; the representative evidence helps quickly locate communications, formal documents, costs, material facts, media, critical issues and institutional findings.

APPLIED FORENSIC RULES

- The number of messages written is not what matters: concrete documented facts matter.
- Requests, questions and accusations are distinguished from payments, documents, receipts, findings, appointments and activities carried out.
- Empty, null or technically erroneous documents are excluded from the report.
- Social and communication criticalities are read as documentary context, not as automatic proof of an unverified fact.

Quantitative summary: WhatsApp 3, Social 1, Images 0, Video 0, PDF 1, Email/Certified Email 4, Audio 0. Areas: formal documents 2, events/conditions 1, material facts 4, institutional/legal 4, economic 0, conflict 0.

8. FORENSIC DOCUMENTARY ASSESSMENT

This assessment is generated on the basis of the documentary analyses already present in the case file. It does not replace the assessment of the appointed professional and does not formulate definitive legal conclusions.

FINAL FORENSIC ASSESSMENT

1. Case file subject

The subject of this case file is "Stalking," as indicated by the case designation.

2. Chronological summary of documented facts

- * **2026-04-08 22:48 (Attachment no. 6, 7):** A WhatsApp conversation titled "Harassing messages from Unknown Number" occurred. Messages from an "Unknown Number" were sent to a "Device Owner," indicating knowledge of the recipient's workplace, threatening consequences for blocking, and stating physical presence outside their building. The device owner responded once, asking that contact cease.
- * **2026-04-08 22:50 (Attachment no. 8):** A CCTV Video Frame titled "CCTV Lobby Demo" was included. This is described as a fictional camera clip depicting a person waiting near an entrance and is explicitly labeled as "fictional evidence" and a "demo video frame."
- * **2026-04-10 09:12 (Attachment no. 9):** A WhatsApp conversation titled "Chat with Unknown Number" took place. In this exchange, a "User" repeatedly asked the "Unknown Number" to stop contacting them. The "Unknown Number" insisted on talking and, upon being threatened with reporting, stated an intention to "find another way" to communicate.
- * **Undated (Attachment no. 5):** A Police Information Note, PI-2026-4481, was provided. This document is described as a fictional note detailing a complaint from Emily Carter concerning repeated unwanted messages and her fear that the sender might attend her workplace. It is explicitly stated to be for demonstration purposes.
- * **Undated (Attachment no. 1, 2, 4):** Three identical Call Transcripts titled "Caller's Statement Regarding Persistence and Office Hours" were included. These are fictional transcripts where a caller expresses a firm intention to remain and claims knowledge of the office's operating hours.
- * **Undated (Attachment no. 3):** A Social Media Screenshot titled "Repeated profile viewing / message request" was provided. This is presented as "Demo visual evidence" and explicitly labeled as "Fictional - no real person or event," with no legal relevance for actual case proceedings.

3. Parties, entities or interlocutors identified

- * **Mario Rossi:** Creator of the case file.
- * **Emily Carter:** Complainant in the fictional Police Information Note (Attachment no. 5), reporting unwanted messages and concern about potential presence at her workplace.
- * **Caller:** Author of the fictional call transcripts (Attachment no. 1, 2, 4).
- * **Unknown Number:** Sender of WhatsApp messages in Attachments 6, 7, and 9.
- * **[Device Owner]/User:** Recipient of WhatsApp messages in Attachments 6, 7, and 9.
- * **Police/Law Enforcement:** Stated author of the fictional Police Information Note (Attachment no. 5).
- * **Person waiting near an entrance:** Subject depicted in the fictional CCTV Video Frame (Attachment no. 8).

4. Main documentary evidence

The primary factual documentary evidence consists of:

- * **Attachment no. 6 & 7:** WhatsApp Conversation "Harassing messages from Unknown Number" (dated 2026-04-08 22:48), which documents direct messages containing threats and a claim of physical proximity.

* **Attachment no. 9:** WhatsApp Conversation "Chat with Unknown Number" (dated 2026-04-10 09:12), which records repeated requests from the "User" for the "Unknown Number" to cease contact, met with persistence and a statement of intent to find alternative means of communication.

Attachments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 8 are explicitly marked as fictional, demonstrative, or not pertaining to real events, and therefore do not serve as factual evidence for the case.

5. Correlations between attachments

* **Theme of Persistence and Workplace Knowledge:** The fictional "Caller's Statement" (Attachment no. 1, 2, 4) expressing persistence and knowledge of office hours aligns thematically with the actions of the "Unknown Number" in the WhatsApp conversations (Attachment no. 6, 7, 9), who demonstrates persistent contact, knowledge of the recipient's workplace, and an expressed intent to continue contact through other means.

* **Claim of Physical Presence:** The "Unknown Number's" statement in the WhatsApp conversation (Attachment no. 6, 7), "I am outside your building," creates a narrative link with the fictional "CCTV Lobby Demo" (Attachment no. 8), which depicts a person waiting near an entrance. However, the CCTV is explicitly stated to be fictional and cannot corroborate a real event.

* **Reported Concerns vs. Documented Actions:** The fictional Police Information Note (Attachment no. 5), detailing Emily Carter's complaint about unwanted messages and fear of the sender attending her workplace, conceptually parallels the "Unknown Number's" explicit message "I know where you work" and "I am outside your building" in the WhatsApp conversation (Attachment no. 6, 7).

* **Escalation of Unwanted Contact:** The initial WhatsApp messages (Attachment no. 6, 7) show a single request to stop contact. This develops in the later WhatsApp conversation (Attachment no. 9), where the "User" explicitly and repeatedly requests cessation of contact, which is disregarded by the "Unknown Number."

6. Economic, technical or material elements

No specific economic or material elements are explicitly detailed within the provided attachments. Technical elements involved are the use of WhatsApp for communication and the concept of call transcription and CCTV surveillance.

7. Critical issues, inconsistencies or points to verify

* **Prevalence of Fictional Content:** A significant portion of the case file (Attachments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 8) is explicitly identified as fictional, demonstrative, or not pertaining to real events. This means these documents do not provide factual evidence for the case.

* **Duplication of Evidence:** Attachments 1, 2, and 4 are identical "Call Transcript" documents, including the same filename, which suggests a redundancy in the data entry or processing of the case file.

* **Unidentified Parties:** The identities of the "Unknown Number" in the WhatsApp conversations and the "Device Owner/User" are not specified. It is also unclear if the fictional complainant, Emily Carter, has a real-world counterpart in this specific case context.

* **Corroboration of Physical Presence:** The "Unknown Number's" claim of physical presence ("I am outside your building") in the WhatsApp messages lacks corroboration from any non-fictional, factual evidence within the provided attachments.

8. Neutral technical conclusion

The case file, titled "Stalking" and created by Mario Rossi, contains nine attachments. A majority of these attachments are explicitly presented as fictional or demonstrative examples (Attachments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 8), thus offering no direct factual evidence for the current proceedings.

The factual evidence primarily comprises two WhatsApp conversation sequences (Attachments 6, 7, and 9) occurring on April 8 and April 10, 2026. These conversations document persistent and unwanted communication from an "Unknown Number" to a recipient referred to as a "Device Owner" or "User." The messages contain indications of the sender's knowledge of the recipient's workplace, direct threats related to blocking, a specific claim of physical presence outside the recipient's building, and a disregard for explicit requests to cease communication, coupled with a stated intention to find alternative contact methods.

Critical aspects requiring further investigation include establishing the identities of all involved parties, particularly the "Unknown Number" and the "Device Owner/User." Additionally, the relationship between the fictional elements, such as the Police Information Note concerning Emily Carter, and the factual WhatsApp communications needs clarification. The presence of identical attachments (1, 2, and 4) suggests a potential issue in data management. The documented WhatsApp exchanges provide clear evidence of persistent, unwanted communication and implied threats, but the overall file is significantly impacted by the inclusion of demonstrative content.